





Student Assessment Handbook

ENGLISH LANGUAGE

(Bilingual Private Schools)

(Grades 5 - 8)







CONTENTS

GLC	SSARY		р. 3-5
GEN	IERAL IN	NTRODUCTION	p. 6-11
Bac	kground	I Information	p. 7
A.	Contin	uous Assessment Principles	p. 8
B.	Learnii	ng Outcomes	p. 9
C.	Learnii	ng Outcomes for Grades 5 to 8	p. 10
D.	Plannir	ng for Assessment	p. 11
<u>PAR</u>	RT ONE:	GATHERING INFORMATION	p. 12-21
1	Gather	ring Information Tools	p. 12
1.1	Day-to	o-Day Observation	p. 13
1.2	Classro	oom Questioning	p. 14-16
1.3	Presen	itations	p. 16-17
1.4	Writter	n Work	p. 17-18
1.5	Project	CS CS	p. 18-19
1.6	Indepe	endent Reading	p. 19
1.7	Quizze	S	p. 20
1.8	Semes	ster Tests	p. 20-21
2.	Portfol		p. 22
<u>PAR</u>	RT TWO:	RECORDING INFORMATION	p. 23-28
2	Record	ding Information	p. 23
2.1	Inform	nal Records	p. 24-25
2.2	Forma	l Records	p. 25-29
<u>PAR</u>	T THREE	E: USING INFORMATION	p. 30-42
3	Using 1	Information	p. 30
3.1	_	tive Assessment	p. 30
	3.1.1	Adaptation of Teaching	p. 31
	3.1.2	Giving Feedback to Students	p. 32-34
	3.1.3	Student Self-Assessment	p. 34-36
3.2	Summ	ative Assessment	p. 37
	3.2.1	Rating Scales	p. 37
	3.2.2	Making Decisions on Marks	p. 38-40
	3.2.3	Continuous Moderation	p. 40-43
	3.2.4	Reporting	p. 43
APP	PENDICE	S	p. 44-72
1.		ng Marks (Outcomes & Scales)	p. 44-55
2.		ous Assessment mark sheets	p. 56-64
3.	Exam S _l	pecifications	p. 65-73
4.	Tasks fo	or Independent Reading	p. 74-75

GLOSSARY

Learning Outcomes Statements which describe what students should know,

understand and, especially, be able to do. Outcomes can be

grouped together into 'elements' (e.g., Writing).

Assessment A range of techniques designed to gather useful information

about students' achievement of learning outcomes.

Summative Assessment Assessment of student learning. Its purpose is to measure and

report on standards of learning. Typically done by awarding marks and grades. Also involves reporting to the Ministry and

to parents.

Formative Assessment Assessment for student learning. Its purpose is to improve

students' learning. Typically done through adaptation of teaching, giving feedback, student self-assessment, etc.

Continuous Assessment Assessment conducted — in schools, by teachers — throughout

the school year, rather than just at the end. Provides a fairer, more balanced picture of students' attainment. Also allows the inclusion of skills (e.g., Speaking) which are difficult, for reasons of practicality, to assess by means of formal testing. It can be

used for both Formative and Summative purposes.

Self-Assessment Assessment by students of their own strengths and weaknesses,

their own learning strategies, and the quality of their own work.

Self-Monitoring A particular type of self-assessment, in which students assess

the quality of their own work while they are doing it.

Peer-Assessment Assessment by students of each other's work. A useful bridge

to self-assessment.

Washback Effect The impact that assessment has on what happens in the class-

room, especially on the strategies that teachers and students

adopt for language-learning. This impact can be either

'positive', 'negative' or 'neutral'.

Continuous Moderation An on-going process of consultation between teachers to arrive

at a *shared understanding* of the criteria used for assessing students' work and awarding different marks and grades.

Stakeholders Individuals and institutions that are affected by and have an

interest in — the education system and its procedures and outputs. These include everyone employed by the Ministry, as well as students, parents, employers, the wider community, etc.

Feedback Comments from other people to students about the quality of

their work with the aim of improving it. The work may be either

already completed or still in progress.

Presentation An activity in which a speaker addresses an audience —

typically, the rest of the class — for an extended time. He/she can present information, ideas and/or opinions, after which he/she is expected to answer questions from the audience.

Informative Writing Writing in which the main purpose is to communicate

information. Includes a wide variety of texts, such as descriptions, reports, articles, summaries, leaflets, etc.

Interactive Writing Writing, which is intended to communicate directly with other

individuals, usually as part of an exchange. This includes letters,

e-mails, invitations, etc., as well as replies to these texts.

Narrative Writing Writing which tells a story (in the past tense). Typical texts

include accounts of real-life experiences or events, news

reports, biographies, historical texts, etc.

Evaluative Writing Writing in which personal opinions are expressed and justified.

Typical texts would be in essay form, and involve, discussing pros

and cons, commenting on issues, giving advice etc.

Literature genres These are divided into three forms, poetry, drama, and prose.

Prose is then subdivided into novels and short stories.

Writing about Literature Differs from other types of writing in that it should be a personal

and creative response to poetry, prose, and drama. It is NOT just summarizing. It requires an understanding of literary elements and the ability to analyse the text, evaluate the language used,

and interpret the text's overall meaning.

Reading about literature Reading literary texts differs from other types of reading. It is

Not just comprehension. It requires an identification of the main

features of different genres (poetry, prose, and drama).

Literary Elements Aspects found in all literature, such as style, character, setting,

point-of-view, conflict, theme, etc.

Figures of Speech Stylistic uses of language, such as metaphors, symbols, images,

similes, puns, etc.,

Class-based Reading Reading done by the whole class, as part of a teacher-led

development of reading skills.

Portfolio An on-going collection of work done by the student. It provides

concrete evidence of the student's learning, and of the type and

level of work that he/she has done.

Project An activity which, within a given timeframe, aims at producing

an end product. It is usually longer and more complex than the

usual kind of classroom activity.

Test/ Exam Specifications A formal set of instructions for test-writers, providing

information about various features of a particular test: duration, elements to be tested, formats & materials to be used, types of

student response, marks to be awarded, etc.

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This 'Student Assessment Handbook' (SAH) for Bilingual Private Schools (5 - 8) is based on the official guidelines for assessment issued by the Center for Educational Assessment and Measurement (CEAM) at the Ministry of Education. Its purpose is to provide information and guidance for English teachers, supervisors, and exam-writers on the assessment of students in **Grades 5-8**. It was prepared by a **joint committee** consisting of Assessment Officers from CEAM, teachers from schools, and representatives from the Directorate-General of Private Schools (Program and Curricula Department and Supervision). It replaces all previously issued student assessment documents and will be applied **from September 2023 onwards**.

A. CONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT PRINCIPLES

- The aim of assessment is to provide useful information about students' learning.
- Assessment therefore focuses on the *learning outcomes* which students are expected to achieve.
- Assessment of students' achievement of these learning outcomes is based on the conscious, systematic *gathering of information*.
- A wide variety of sources of information are available. Each of these sources has
 its own strengths and weaknesses, so to arrive at a properly balanced picture,
 teachers should make use of as many different sources as possible.
- Teachers can use the assessment information which has been gathered for two main purposes:
 - **Summatively**: to <u>measure</u> (and report on) students' learning. Typically, this involves awarding marks and grades. This information is then passed on, as appropriate, to the Ministry, parents, and other stakeholders.
 - **Formatively**: to <u>improve</u> students' learning. Typically, this involves adapting lessons, giving feedback to students, encouraging self-assessment, etc.
- Both Formative and Summative Assessment are necessary and important;
 neither should be neglected.
- The whole way in which assessment is conducted can also have an important impact on teaching and learning practice. This impact on what happens in the classroom is known as 'washback effect'. This effect can be either 'positive' or 'negative'. Assessment procedures and practices should aim for the 'positive' and avoid the negative' effect. This means focusing on students' learning and progress rather than teaching only to pass exams.
- Other important decisions are also made based on assessment information, sometimes with a major impact on the future of individual students and other stakeholders. For all these reasons, the quality i.e., the truthfulness and reliability of the information provided by assessment is crucial.

B. LEARNING OUTCOMES

- Learning Outcomes are statements which describe what students should know, understand and be able to do.
- In a skill like language-learning, the <u>most</u> important thing is what students are can do. So, outcome statements typically begin with: "Can..."
 However, knowledge, understanding and awareness also play an important role in supporting and enabling these skills.
- Learning outcomes may consist of ONE Main Outcome and a series of specific, outcomes that contribute to the main outcome.
 For Example:

('Can give presentations') is a main outcome.

('Can establish and maintain contact with the audience') is a specific outcome.

• At different times and for different purposes, assessment will focus on either the main or the specific outcomes.

When assessing learning outcomes, three important considerations are:

- (i) To focus on **what the student learns**, not what the teacher does.
- (ii) To assess whether students can **transfer** learning. This is 'an important quality of learning, i.e., a crucial indicator of whether a student understands (and can do) something is whether he or she is able to apply it in different circumstances.' (Gipps, 1994)
- (iii) To view language learning as a *cumulative* process: to be useful, recently learnt skills must be combined and integrated with previously learnt skills.

C. LEARNING OUTCOMES for Grades 5-8

The Learning Outcomes for Grades 5 to 8 are grouped into five elements.

<u>Listening Speaking Reading Writing Grammar & Vocabulary</u>

A total of eleven key outcomes have been identified:

Elements	Key Learning Outcomes				
LST	Can understand a variety of spoken texts.				
CDV	Can give presentations.				
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.				
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.				
RDG	Can read grade-level texts independently. (for grades 5 & 6)				
	Can understand variety of literary texts. (for grades 7 & 8)				
WRT (1) Can write and respond to letters and e-mails. (Interactive)					
	(2) Can write texts with the purpose of providing information. (Informative)				
	(3) Can write stories and narrate events in the past. (Narrative)				
	(4) Can write texts which express and justify opinions. (Persuasive)				
VCB/GRM Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.					

- Marks are awarded for these outcomes based on **two** methods of assessment: Continuous Assessment (CA) and End-of-Semester Tests (SMTs).
- Different elements are assessed in diverse ways: some using only CA, some using only SMTs, others using a combination of both methods.
 The weightings for each element at each grade-level are as follows:

Elements	Grades 5 & 6			
Liements	CA	SMTs	Total	
Listening	10%	10%	20%	
Speaking	15%	##	15%	
Reading	15%	10%	25%	
Writing	15%	10%	25%	
GRM/ VCB	5%	10%	15%	
TOTAL	60%	40%	100%	

Elements	Grades 7 & 8					
Liements	CA	SMTs	Total			
Listening	10%	10%	20%			
Speaking	15%	##	15%			
Reading	15%	15%	30%			
Writing	15%	10%	25%			
GRM/ VCB	5%	5%	10%			
TOTAL	60%	40%	100%			

D. PLANNING for ASSESSMENT

NOTE for ENGLISH TEACHERS:

At the **start** of the school year, you should:

- Make yourself thoroughly familiar with the contents of the BILINGUAL-SAH.
- Pay special attention to:
 - > Strategies for Conducting Formative and Summative Assessment
 - Learning Outcomes
 - > Rating Scales
 - > Semester Test Specifications
- Make sure that your students know:
 - > How assessment will be conducted and what rules will be applied
 - > The criteria for awarding marks
 - > The formats used in the Semester Tests
- Prepare the relevant mark sheet for formal record-keeping and read the guidelines on how to fill it in correctly.
- Make a note of important dates and deadlines.

During the school year, you should:

- Take part in assessment-related meetings, workshops and other activities organised by your Head of English and/or your supervisor.
- When preparing lessons, anticipate opportunities for both Formative and Summative Assessment; when teaching, take full advantage of these opportunities.
- Use the official mark sheet to record provisional, and then final, marks awarded to students according to the relevant Rating Scales.
- Keep regularly updated written notes and collect appropriate samples of student work in preparation for the Formal Moderation visit conducted towards the end of each semester.
- Reflect on and evaluate the professional quality of their own assessment work and take steps to improve it.

PART ONE

GATHERING INFORMATION

GATHERING INFORMATION

1. Gathering Information Tools

The aim of this section is to provide information and guidance which will help teachers to maximise the quantity and quality of the assessment information that they gather.

- This section includes **seven** main tools for gathering assessment information:
 - Day-to-Day Observation, Classroom Questioning, Presentations, Written Work, Projects and Independent Reading are examples of assessment as an integral part of teaching. Here, the emphasis is on the need for teachers to conduct constant, on-going assessment of both individuals and the whole class, so that he/she can note progress, identify problems, and find solutions.
 - Quizzes, and Semester Tests (SMTs)— are instruments and procedures which are designed specifically for <u>assessing</u> student learning.

2. Portfolios:

A Portfolio is an on-going collection of student's work gathered through different tools. However, it provides good opportunities for assessment of learning.

1.1 DAY-TO-DAY OBSERVATION

To 'observe' is 'to watch (and listen to) someone or something carefully'. Teachers should continually observe, and record observations of their students in the classroom.

<u>Implementation</u>

- Teachers should observe not only individuals, but also groups and the whole class.
- Daily observation involves paying close attention to:
 - what students say
 - what students write
 - what students do
 - which strategies students use to carry out tasks
 - how students react to new input
 - how students interact with each other
 - what is revealed by their facial expressions and body language
 - what is revealed by their self-assessments
- None of these 'indicators' is perfectly reliable on its own. However, combined, they
 provide a very rich source of (diagnostic) information about student learning.

1.2 CLASSROOM QUESTIONING

Classroom Questioning is one of the most important tools for investigating students' learning. It involves using different kinds of questions to gather useful, reliable information to assess student learning. One of the advantages of classroom questioning is that, if the first question does not work as expected, the teacher can 'try again' by re-formulating the original question or by asking a new, follow-up question.

- **What** is asked its content and exact form is especially important.
- Questions for assessing students' learning should be relevant, clear and generate a valid response. This means:

RELEVANCE	Is the question directly related to the specific point that I am interested in?				
CLARITY	Is it concise, simple, and clear, so that (all) the students will understand it?				
RESPONSE VALIDITY	Will students' responses to the question tell me any thing? Will they provide me with reliable information?				

Implementation

- Assessment of student learning using this method should be done during the lesson, as the teacher is asking the questions.
- Teachers should identify what they want to assess and prepare the questions during the lesson planning stage.
- Teachers should also plan **how** they are going to ask classroom guestions.
- When teachers ask questions, they should consider the following:

	Description				
QUANTITY	Teachers should ensure they minimise their TTT and maximise STT to gather evidence about student learning.				
SPREAD	Teachers should ensure they ask questions to <u>all students</u> , not just the ones who 'volunteer'.				
QUALITY	Teachers should ensure that questions help assess understanding and achievement of the learning outcomes.				

Strategies for effectively implementing classroom questioning that address **quantity**, **spread** and **quality** include:

	S	trategy/ Solution	Description				
Ł	(a)	Don't Tell — Elicit!	T uses a range of elicitation techniques; gets Sts to talk. T doesn't tell them what <u>they</u> could tell him/her.				
QUANTITY	(b)	Open Pair work	T stays out of the interaction and improves the STT:TTT ratio by setting up 'open pair work' in the classroom.				
1ò	(c)	Closed Pair work/ Groupwork	T improves the STT:TTT ratio even more by setting up regular group-work (or 'closed pair work') activities.				
	(d)	Open Qs	T includes questions to which there are many possible (correct) answers.				
AD	(e)	No Hands	T doesn't allow Sts to raise their hands; selects for him/herself which student(s) should respond.				
SPREAD	(f)	No 'Teacher! Teacher!'	T allows Sts to raise their hands, but doesn't allow them to call out the answers.				
	(g)	'No Comment' >> Peer-Correction	T withholds or delays feedback on the correctness of the response. Instead, he/she invites other students to either confirm the first student's response or correct it.				
	(h)	Genuine Qs	T includes questions to which he/she doesn't already know the answer.				
>	(i)	Thinking Time	T pauses after questions to allow Sts time to think about their response.				
QUALITY	(j)	Check/ Confirm	T asks follow-up Qs to make sure that he/she has really understood a response.				
0	(k)	<u>`Why?'</u>	T asks students to explain or justify the response they have just given.				
	(1)	'No Comment' >> Self-Correction	Instead of correcting, T merely indicates that there is a problem and invites the student to try again.				
Note: T = Teacher; Sts = Students; Qs = Questions; TTT = Teacher Talking Time;							

[<u>Note</u>: T = Teacher; Sts = Students; Qs = Questions; TTT = Teacher Talking Time; STT = Student Talking Time]

Note: Teachers are advised to try out these techniques, evaluate their effectiveness, and combine those which work best.

Further considerations

Note 1: Teachers should avoid the <u>overuse</u> of `choral responses' from the whole class. This kind of response can be useful, <u>but</u> in terms of producing clear, reliable information, it has several potential drawbacks. For example, the teacher may hear (from the majority) a `correct' response, but in fact:

- (i) some individuals have answered wrongly.
- (ii) others have not said anything at all!

Note 2: Teachers should avoid the <u>overuse</u> of 'Initiation-Response-Feedback' or 'IRF':

- 1) Teacher asks the class a question typically, a question with a single correct answer.
- 2) Some of the students volunteer to respond by raising their hands (and possibly also by calling out the answer).
- 3) Teacher selects one of these volunteering students.
- 4) The selected student responds to the question.
- 5) Teacher either: (i) indicates that the response is correct and praises the student.
 - or : (ii) indicates that the response is wrong and corrects the student.

This procedure might meet with traditional expectations, and it keeps the lesson moving along efficiently. However, it has several limitations as a tool for gathering information.

1.3 PRESENTATIONS

The ability to speak in public is an important learning outcome, so **from Grade Five onwards**, students are required to give English language presentations.

- In a typical presentation, the speaker stands in front of the rest of the class and speaks about a particular topic for a specified time. After this, he/she answers questions from the audience.
- The main content of the presentation can consist of information, ideas, opinions, project results, etc. This content will frequently be supported by visuals of some kind.
- The duration of these presentations (not including the questions from the audience)
 gradually increases as students' progress through the grade-levels: approximately
 five minutes in Grade 5 to Grade 8.
- It is important that students stick to the specified time-limit and learn to use the time as efficiently as possible.

Implementation

- From the very beginning, students should be given regular opportunities to practise speaking in front of an audience starting with mini-presentations on easy topics, and gradually increasing the length and complexity of the task.
- Topics can be chosen by the teacher, by the class or by individual presenters.
- Students may use brief notes but should **not** be allowed to read out from a prepared text.

- Presentations can be given individually or by small teams. In the latter case, more time can be allowed. (<u>Note</u>: Marks should, however, always be awarded individually.)
- During this practice, students should be given specific guidance on the 'physical' aspects of a presentation: how to use their voice, body, hands, and eyes. The importance of 'starting well' should also be emphasised.

Assessment

- Marks are awarded to students using the special Rating Scale to be found in the relevant Appendices.
- Students should be made familiar with this Rating Scale, which sums up the main criteria for an effective presentation. (<u>Note</u>: Presentations provide ideal opportunities for self- and peer-assessment.)
- Teachers may wish to make audio- or (even better) video-recordings of presentations, and use these, possibly for assessment and moderation, but more particularly for *teaching* purposes. However, students should *never* be forced to appear on a recording against their will.

1.4 WRITTEN WORK

The teacher can also gather useful information by looking closely at students' written work — whether this work has been done in class, as homework or as part of a project.

(Note: Some of this work may also be kept in the student's portfolio.)

Teachers should use written work as an assessment tool because:

- It provides <u>detailed</u> information, especially about students' writing.
- It provides an easily accessible and <u>permanent</u> record, which shows students' progress over time.
- It can be examined by the teacher at <u>any</u> time and place, so he/she is able to concentrate fully, without distractions.

<u>Implementation</u>

- Written work can be time-consuming, so students may have to produce their written work outside of the classroom.
- The teacher must make sure that all written work has been done by the students themselves, without inappropriate assistance from others (parents, family, friends, the Internet, etc.)

- Teachers should follow a strict policy of **not accepting** any work which has not been done by students. This policy should be explained clearly at the start of the school year and applied consistently.
- If, this policy is <u>not</u> followed there will be (at least!) three negative consequences:
 - Marks awarded will be inaccurate and meaningless.
 - Students producing their own work are likely to be de-motivated.
 - If work is not genuinely attempted by a student, then he/she has no chance to learn anything from it.

1.5 PROJECTS

A project is an activity which, within a given timeframe, aims at producing some *end-product*, e.g., a piece of writing, a spoken performance, a poster, a collection of words and/or pictures, etc. It is generally *longer and more complex than the usual kind of classroom activity*. It *may* involve the collection of information and material from external sources not found at school.

Implementation

- Projects can be carried out by individuals or by groups of students.
- They may be either
 - (a) an integral part of the course materials, or
 - (b) a supplementary task set by the teacher.
- The scope of the project should be *realistic* in terms of:
 - the language (and cognitive) level of the student(s).
 - the amount of time required.
 - the availability (and cost) of the physical resources required.
 - the availability of English language material in the school environment.
- The teacher's role is as follows:
 - (a) **Before** the start of the project: Approve the student's choice of title/subject and proposed working plan.
 - (b) **During** the project: Provide encouragement, practical assistance, and suggestions, where necessary.
 - (c) **After** the project: Assess the work done (see below) and, where appropriate, give feedback.

Assessment

- For **summative assessment** (i.e., the awarding of marks), assess projects just as you would any other work done by a student.
- To do this, first decide what the main outcomes of the project are. For example, is the *product* of the project written or spoken? Which language skills (outcomes) are involved in carrying out the project? Then, use the relevant CA Rating Scale(s) accordingly.
- A project mark contributes towards the *Continuous Assessment* mark for the skill that the project focuses on. For example, if the main outcome of the project is speaking, then the mark would be put under 'Speaking' in the Continuous Assessment mark sheet.
- Do <u>not</u> (officially) award a mark for 'the project' as a whole. This is because the ability to carry out a project is <u>not</u> a learning outcome of English.
- You can also carry our formative assessment by commenting and giving feedback on any aspect of the project.

1.6 INDEPENDENT READING

The ability to read independently is a key Learning Outcome in itself, and has a potentially beneficial impact on other aspects of English language, such as Vocabulary and Writing. For this reason, assessment **of Grades Five and Six** provides students with the opportunity (a) to respond personally to texts that they have read, and (b) to develop their other language skills, as well as Reading.

<u>Assessment</u>

- The Rating Scale for Independent Reading covers two aspects: (a) the amount of reading is done; (b) the type of tasks are done. See Appendix four
- When using the scale (out of 5), the teacher will need to balance out the two aspects, and then make a professional judgement on the appropriate overall mark for each student. (Note: 'Half-marks' cannot be awarded.)
- Regarding Aspect (a): In practice, the exact meaning of general expressions such as 'a lot' or 'moderate' or 'limited' will need to be interpreted in the light of local conditions and circumstances, in particular the availability of reading material.
- Regarding Aspect (b): Students do not have to carry out a task for every single text that they read. [Remember, the important thing in 'Independent Reading' is, of course, that students read as much as possible. All that is required for this purpose is that a reasonable number of tasks are done, so that the teacher can make a proper judgement about how ambitious the student has been in his/her choice of tasks.

1.6 QUIZZES

Quizzes are a more *informal* type of test. They can be used at any grade-level to gather additional information about students' learning.

- There are no fixed marks for quizzes. Any information obtained from quizzes should be combined with information obtained from other sources or 'tools'
- Quizzes are a useful assessment tool, but tests of this kind should **not** become the
 <u>main</u> and certainly not the only assessment tool used by the teacher.

Implementation

- Quizzes should be done during normal classroom teaching.
- They should be **very short**, lasting **no more than 10 minutes**.
- The teacher *may* or *may not* wish to tell students about a quiz or give students information about the specific topic or focus of the quiz in advance.
- It is possible to use a wide variety of different test formats (types of tasks). Student responses can be either written or, where appropriate, spoken.
- Unlike CLTs, quizzes will often have a relatively <u>narrow</u> focus, e.g., on a very specific learning outcome or language point.

Assessment

- There is (usually) no need to prepare a written Marking Guide; a more informal approach will be sufficient.
- Scores from quizzes should be combined with information about each learning outcome, gathered using other tools, to award *Continuous Assessment* marks using the appropriate Rating Scale(s).

1.7 SEMESTER TESTS

General

- The Semester Test is a formal examination given at the end of each semester. It covers four of the elements: **LST, RDG, WRT & GRM/VCB.** (Note: Speaking is not included, for reasons of time and practicality.)
- In <u>Grades 5 to 8</u>, **40 marks** will be awarded for the Semester Test.
- The duration of each Semester Test will be as follows:
- Grades 5 & 6: One-and-a half hours (90 minutes).
- Grades 7 & 8: Two hours (120 minutes).

- Students who fail to achieve the overall pass-mark (50%) for the year's work will have the opportunity to try again as follows:
- For those who fail in Semester One, a **Second Session** exam for that semester.
- For those who fail in Semester Two, a **Second Session** exam for that semester.
- For those who fail in both Semester One <u>and</u> Semester Two, **Second Session** exams must be taken for both semesters.

(<u>Note</u>: The Specifications used for each of these Second Session papers are identical to those used in the First Session paper.)

Preparation

- All exam papers will be prepared according to the official Exam Specifications. Details of these can be found in <u>Appendix Three</u>.
- Teachers should make sure that students are familiar, in advance, with all the test formats (task-types) which appear in the Specifications. They should ensure that the task-instructions are clear and that the students know what to do. Students will also need to know on what basis marks will be awarded in the tests.
- Test-writers should aim to give <u>all</u> the students a fair chance to show what they can
 do. This can be achieved by including <u>both</u> easier <u>and</u> more challenging tasks and
 items in the tests.
- To provide a <u>valid</u> assessment of students' language skills, listening or reading texts
 which students have <u>already</u> heard or seen will <u>not</u> be included in the exams. Only
 unseen texts will be used. Sentences or short texts used in the GRM/ VCB section will,
 likewise, follow the same principle.
- Test-writers should also remember that language-learning is *cumulative*, and not focus solely on recently taught material.
- Listening texts will be either recorded on cassette or read out to students.
- To help ensure the quality of the exams, test-writing will be done in a collaborative
 Way, with a team of test-writers working closely together and supporting each other.
- It is also essential to review the quality of the test-paper again <u>after</u> the students have taken it. Taking note of any unexpected problems, which occurred can help test-writers improve their performance next time.

2. PORTFOLIOS

A portfolio is an on-going collection of work done by the student. It provides concrete evidence of a student's learning and of the type and level of work that he/she has done.

- A portfolio should contain a varied selection of work and all five elements (LST, SPK, RDG, WRT & GRM/ VCB) should be systematically included.
- It should be organised in a clear, logical way, and a list of contents should be provided.
- Creating a portfolio is a collaborative process. Teachers and students should decide together, what work should be included and why.

Implementation

- Students' portfolio work should be kept in a file.
- There may also be other items (e.g., audio-recordings, posters, and other larger display items) which will not fit into a file and will need to be stored in another location.
- The file used can be very simple. There is no need for parents to pay large amounts of money for 'fancy' or expensive files.
- At these grade-levels, looking after the portfolio and keeping it safe should be the
 student's responsibility, not the teachers. Where possible, a place for storing the
 portfolios can be set aside in the classroom, or elsewhere in the school.
- Portfolios should be available for viewing and comment by visitors, other teachers, and parents.
- At the end of the school year, the teacher should select a small sample of the work done by each student, which can be handed on to their next teacher. The student should then be given the rest of the portfolio to keep at home.

Assessment

- **Summative assessment** of portfolios should focus on students' achievement in the language learning outcomes for the grade-level. Marks should therefore <u>not</u> (officially) be awarded for 'the portfolio' as a whole. Again, the ability to put together a portfolio is <u>not</u>, a learning outcome of English.
- Material in the portfolio is like any other work done by the student further evidence
 of his/her achievement in the learning outcomes for the grade-level. For each of the
 outcomes which receive CA marks, decide according to the relevant Rating Scale. You
 can
- You can also comment and give formative feedback on any aspect of the portfolio, e.g., its organisation, appearance, selection of contents, etc.

PART TWO

RECORDING INFORMATION

2. RECORDING INFORMATION

This part of the handbook provides information about recording assessment information. It covers both *informal records* and *formal records*.

2.1 INFORMAL RECORDS

The requirement to keep Informal Records about individual students is based on three important needs:

Need	Rationale			
(a) To Have Easy Access to Accurate, High- Quality Information	- Both Formative and Summative Assessment require that you know your students well ; in particular, that you are well-informed about your students' progress and current level of achievement.			
(b) To Overcome the Limitations of Memory	 It is very difficult — for any teacher to retain all the necessary information in their memory, and the more students you have, the more difficult this becomes! 			
(c) To Communicate Effectively with Other Stakeholders	 Parents, SETs, Head Teachers, and RSs have the right to ask you questions about your students and their learning. They also have the right to expect credible, <i>convincing</i> answers. If you have all the relevant information available, you will be able to answer these questions confidently and convincingly. 			

2.1.1 KEEPING INFORMAL RECORDS

- Informal recording keeping might include:
 - Notes and comments on <u>different features</u> of a student's <u>performance</u>, either in general or in a particular element or outcome.
 - Comments on the student's <u>attitudes</u> and learning <u>strategies</u>.
 - References to documents, pieces of work, etc., to be found elsewhere (e.g., in the student's portfolio, in the Skills Book, in project work, on display, etc.).
 - Dates of important events or observations.
 - Questions requiring further investigation and the result of these enquiries.
 - Reminders-to-self about action which needs to be taken.

- Details of <u>changes of CA marks</u> awarded during the year (incl. the date and, where appropriate, the reason or reasons for the change.)
- Relevant <u>background</u> or personal <u>information</u> about the student (e.g., extended absences, etc.).
- Start keeping your Informal Records *early* in the school year.
- Be **systematic** and develop regular routines for working on your records, so that they are kept up to date for all students.
- Informal Records are <u>primarily</u> there for your own reference. Unlike formal record sheets, they are not specifically written as a way of reporting to others. However, SETs, Supervisors, and Head Teachers <u>do</u> have the right to **check** that you are keeping informal notes of this kind. So, when asked, you should willingly show your notes, explaining what specific entries mean, as necessary.

Note: It will be clear from the above that the **amount** of information in a teacher's Informal Records may vary considerably from one student to another. This is normal and natural. <u>However</u>, the teacher should also take care not to allow any students to be 'forgotten'.

2.2 FORMAL RECORDS

- 'Formal' records differ in several ways from 'informal' records. For example, in the case of formal documents, the Ministry specifies not only that the documents must be completed, but also:
 - what formats will be used
 - how they are to be completed
 - when they are to be submitted
- The Continuous Assessment component requires teachers to complete the official mark sheets, for each of their classes. At every grade-level, these overall marks and grades are recorded on a special sheet called the Summary of Marks Awarded (SMA).
- Blank mark sheets are provided for this purpose in <u>Appendix 2</u> for Grades 5 to 8.
- Detailed instructions for completing these mark sheets can be found in <u>Sections 2.2.1</u> and <u>2.2.2</u> below.

2.2.1 MARKS & LETTER-GRADES

 At the end of the school year, the various marks awarded to each student are added together to produce a total mark out of 100, representing his/her overall achievement in English. This mark is then converted into a corresponding lettergrade, as follows:

Mark Range	Letter-Grade	Descriptor		
90% - 100%	Α	Excellent		
80% - 89%	В	Very good		
65% – 79%	С	Good		
50% - 64%	D	Satisfactory		
49% or less	E	Needs further support		

- The minimum pass mark is 50% or letter-grade 'D'.
- An 'E' grade should therefore be regarded as a "failure".
- <u>Note</u>: These five levels of performance also form the basis of all the Rating Scales used for Continuous Assessment.
- In Grades 5 to 8, the assessment system includes *two* components, each with a specified number of marks:

60%	Continuous Assessment #1: Marks awarded by the teacher during everyday classroom teaching, using a variety of 'tools.
40%	Marks for a <u>Semester Test</u> (SMT) prepared at school level and administered at the end of each semester.

- These marks are now recorded on a single mark sheet, i.e., the Summary of Marks
 Awarded (SMA).
- The SMA consists of a grid, with key learning outcomes and details of tests down the side, and student names across the top. At the bottom, there is space for each student's overall score (out of 100) and resulting letter-grade.

• At these grade-level, the SMA is to be completed in *four stages*:

Stage 1: During the semester	(i) (ii) (iii)	Early in the school year, use the relevant Rating Scale to decide on a provisional mark for each of the eight learning outcomes listed under SPK, RDG, WRT and LTR. Enter these marks on the sheet in pencil . Then, as you gather further information during the year, review your marks regularly and amend them as necessary.
Stage 2: End of the semester	(i) (ii) (iii)	Following on from Stage 1 above, make your <i>final</i> decision about the mark for each of the eight learning outcomes. Add together all the marks for Continuous Assessment (Class Tests and Outcome Scores). Enter all these marks <i>in ink</i> in the space provided: "Continuous Assessment: Total (60)"
Stage 3: End of the semester	(i) (ii)	After the Semester Test has been administered and marked, calculate each student's total score for the test. Enter the score <i>in ink</i> in the space provided: "Semester Test: Total (40)".
<u>Stage 4</u> : Round-up	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Add together the CA and SMT totals [e.g., '60' + '40' = '100']. Enter the resulting total <i>in ink</i> in the space provided: 'Overall: Total Score 100)' Use the table in <u>Section 2.2.1</u> above to determine the student's overall letter-grade ['Letter Grade']. Enter this grade <i>in ink</i> in the space provided: 'Overall: Letter Grade'.

Here is an $\underline{\textbf{example}}$ of the four stages outlined above, showing the marks of an imaginary student:

SUMI	MARY of MARKS AWARDED	<u>Stage 1</u> - During		Stage 2 -End of	Stage 3 -End of	<u>Stage 4</u> - Round-	
BILINGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS (Details of grade-level, school year, class, and teacher.)			semester - Provision al marks for outcomes (in pencil)		semester - Final marks for outcomes (in ink)	semester - SMT marks (in ink)	up - Overall marks and letter- grades (in ink)
LST	Outcome # 1: "Can"	(10)	6		7	7	7
SPK	Outcome # 2: "Can"	(5)	5		5	5	5
S	Outcome # 3: "Can"	(10)	8		10	10	10
U	Outcome # 4: "Can"	(10)	5		6	6	6
RDG	Outcome # 5: "Can"	(5)	3		4	4	4
ㅂ	Outcome # 6: "Can"	(5)	2		3	3	3
WRT	Outcome # 7: "Can"	(10)	8		9	10	10
8/9	Outcome # 8: "Can"		3	Ī	4	4	4
CONT Total	CONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total (60)				48	49	49
SEMES	SEMESTER TEST: Total (40)					31	31
OVERALL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)					80
LETTER GRADE							В

2.2.2 FURTHER NOTES on FORMAL RECORD-KEEPING

- The maximum possible mark for each outcome/skill/ section is shown on the SMA sheet in brackets.
- All the Rating Scales used at these grade-levels follow the standard Ministry 'five-levels-of-performance' model. Usually, the maximum score is '5' or '10'; occasionally, for more important outcomes, it is '15'. Teachers can award 'in-between' marks (e.g., '13' or '14' out of 15; '7' out of 10;). Do <u>not</u> award half marks (e.g., '3.5 out of 5', etc.).
- During the year, when entering a provisional mark (in pencil) for a particular student, the teacher may still be in some doubt as to the correct mark. In this case, he/she can add simple symbols (e.g. `?', `+' `- `, '^', etc.) as a reminder to review the mark again. Any annotations should be removed at the end of the year, after the final mark has been awarded (in ink).
- The guidelines in <u>Section 2.2.2</u> above state that the first (provisional) marks for outcomes should be written in pencil 'early' in the school year. In practice, this normally means that, by the end of the first four weeks, there will already be a complete set of CA marks (in pencil) for each student.
- However, it may happen that a particular outcome *cannot* be assessed at this very early stage, because it has not yet been introduced! In this case, the teacher should *postpone* the awarding of any provisional marks until the students have started actual work on the outcome in question.
- There are two possible situations in which a mark written in pencil should be amended:
- <u>Either:</u> (a) On the basis of new information/ observations, the teacher has changed his/her mind about a student's existing level of achievement in the outcome.
 - Or: (b) The student's general level of achievement in the outcome has changed.
- It is strongly recommended that teachers use their <u>Informal Records</u> to keep track of how students' marks develop during the year. Very brief notes, including dates, will usually be sufficient for this purpose. (See <u>Part Two</u>, 'Keeping Informal Records'.)
- The guidelines given above refer to 'pencil' and 'ink'. However, records may also be kept *electronically*, with 'provisional' and 'final' marks being indicated in other ways i.e.,by means of fonts, colours, italics, bold, et

PART THREE

USING INFORMATION

3. USING INFORMATION

This part of the handbook provides information about *Formative* and *Summative* uses of the assessment information which has been gathered.

3.1 FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT

Formative Assessment is assessment <u>for</u> learning, with the aim of helping students to achieve the relevant learning outcomes. Its purpose is to <u>improve</u> achievement, typically by intervening in some way in the teaching-learning process.

- This kind of assessment tends to focus on the smaller outcomes which contribute towards the achievement of the larger outcomes. It is especially interested in 'how' and 'why' learning is achieved, and in what specific action can be taken to change things always remembering that the larger outcomes are the long-term target.
- Formative Assessment research conducted in various countries has consistently produced two contradictory findings:
 - (1) Genuinely formative assessment produces results, having a clear, positive impact on student learning.
 - (2) Formative assessment is frequently <u>neglected</u> by teachers. Even when conducting Continuous Assessment, much of what teachers do is <u>summative</u>, i.e., for the purpose of awarding marks, rather than formative.
- In other words, more time and attention are often paid to Summative than to Formative Assessment when it should be other way round!
- The following sections give information about three types of *Formative* Assessment:
 - Adaptation of Teaching
 - Giving Feedback to Students
 - Student Self-Assessment

3.1.1 ADAPTATION of TEACHING

Whole Class

Assessment information which you gather may highlight successful learning or problems with learning. In either case, you may decide to adapt your teaching in order <u>either</u> to solve the problem <u>or</u> to build on the success.

There are two main ways in which this can be done:

- (a) BEFORE THE LESSON: By making amendments (in advance) to your plan for the <u>next</u> lesson(s).
- (b) DURING THE LESSON: By taking affirmative action *departing from* your lesson plan in some way because of something which you have observed while teaching.

Individual Students

In other cases, the adaptation will only apply to individual students (or possibly small groups of students). You may, for example:

- hold meetings ('conferences') with the student.
- provide supplementary tasks or activities for the student to work on.
- more formally, set up a whole plan either 'remedial' or 'enrichment' for the student.

In <u>all</u> cases, you will then need to evaluate the actual impact of what you have done, and then make further decisions as part of an on-going process.

3.1.2 GIVING FEEDBACK to STUDENTS

Feedback can be defined as: 'Comments to students about the quality of their work with the aim of improving it'. (Note: The work can be either already completed or still in progress.)

- The model for providing useful feedback is based on three key requirements. For a student to improve, he/she must:
 - have an idea of the desired standard of performance.
 - be able to compare the actual performance with the desired performance.
 - take action to close the gap between the two.

- One of the most important ways in which you, as the teacher, can help this process move forward is by providing students with useful feedback.
- Before giving actual feedback, you will need to make certain decisions:

DECISION:		MAIN OPTIONS:	
1.	Who shall I give it to?	(i) (ii) (iii)	To individuals To groups To the whole class
2.	When shall I give it?	(i) (ii) (iii)	During the work Immediately after Later
3.	How shall I give it?	(i) (ii)	Orally In writing
4.	In what language shall I give it?	(i) (ii)	In English In Arabic

- Each of these options has its advantages and disadvantages, which you will need to bear in mind in particular cases. However, as a general principle, it is recommended that you keep things fresh by *varying* your feedback strategies — and then evaluating how effective these strategies are with your students.
- However, making the right decisions on these matters is still not enough. If feedback is to be effective, it <u>also</u> needs to be:
 - **Inclusive -** <u>all</u> your students including the 'excellent' ones can benefit from useful feedback, to do (even) better.
 - **Selective -** do not give students feedback on every single activity, performance or piece of work done.
 - **Knowledgeable -** Your feedback should be based on a sound <u>knowledge</u> of:
 - the English language
 - o effective language-learning strategies in general.
 - **Clear -** communicate effectively in language which is clear and can be readily understood by students.
 - **Specific -** Give students a clear idea of what to do to improve. Make specific, concrete suggestions as to where and how the work could be improved.
 - **Supportive but honest** state your comments, suggestions and criticisms honestly, but <u>also</u> do this in a tactful, patient, and pleasant manner.
 - **Interactive** before making your own comments, ask questions, encourage self-assessment, involve students in identifying problems and solutions.

Two further points to consider regarding feedback:

▶ Peer-Assessment: Remember that you are not the only person in the classroom who can give feedback. Students should be given opportunities to give feedback to each other. This process — known as peer-assessment — not only helps to develop student

self-assessment (see <u>Section</u> <u>3.1.3</u> below); it can also produce interesting, genuinely communicative classroom interaction.

- ➢ Giving Written Feedback: The comments, corrections, and other notations that you put on students' written work are also a kind of feedback. As with Classroom Questioning, there are different ways to do this. You can simply insert the correct words for the student. However, it may be more productive and more beneficial to encourage student self-correction by:
 - either (i) only indicating where a mistake is, with no additional information;
 - or (ii) indicating where a mistake is <u>and</u> what type of mistake it is.
 - or (iii) writing a comment about a point which (overall) needs to be improved.

3.1.3 STUDENT SELF-ASSESSMENT

One of the central goals of education is that students gradually become autonomous learners, capable of deciding for themselves what they need to learn and how to learn it. Private Schools should encourage this through the use of various **self- assessment activities**, which are generally found at the end of each unit in professionally published materials.

In these activities, students are asked to reflect on their learning experiences and to ask themselves some basic questions, such as:

- whether they found an activity easy or difficult
- whether they have enjoyed something (i.e., specific activities/ topics/ materials)
- how well they can now do something now (i.e., their achievement of specific learning outcomes)
- what they have learnt from the unit (i.e., their recent progress in learning English)
- how well they have performed during the unit (i.e., the quality of their recent work)
- how they have approached specific tasks (i.e., their learning strategies)

In addition, adopt other positive strategies:

	Strategy	Notes
1	At the start of the school year, explain to the students what self-assessment is, why it is important, and what you will be expecting of them during lessons.	Emphasize that self-assessment is nothing 'special' or 'frightening', but a very normal, everyday thing to do.
2	Encourage students to believe that they <u>can</u> (learn to) do it.	Encourage students and provide <u>regular</u> , ongoing opportunities for students to 'try out' self-assessment and in this way build their confidence.
3a	Using language that they understand, give students information about the learning outcomes.	These learning outcomes are the same as the ones which the teacher assesses.
3b	Explain the general criteria for assessing these outcomes, as in the Rating Scales.	Communicate these criteria simply and clearly, using L.1 where necessary.
4a	Before students start work on any specific task, tell them — or, even better, elicit from them — what the 'criteria for success' are.	Focus on a <u>small</u> number of key criteria, and express these in clear, simple language.
4b	Then, while students are doing the task, keep reminding them of these criteria and encouraging them to monitor the quality of their own work — and to improve it as necessary.	The ability to 'self-monitor' — using appropriate criteria for success — is crucial in carrying out <u>any</u> task which is worth doing well.
5	On a day-to-day basis in the classroom, ask questions which require students to assess the quality of any work done, whether by themselves (self-assessment) or by other students (peer-assessment).	Typical questions might be: 'What do you think?', 'Is that clear/ correct/ OK?' etc. If the answer to any of these questions is: 'No/ Not really', then you can ask follow-up questions like: 'What's wrong with it?', 'What could you/he/she write instead?' etc., thus pointing the way to improvement.
6	In all your classroom practice, try to create the right kind of <i>classroom atmosphere</i> , one which encourages openness and honesty.	The whole process of self- and peer- assessment will only work effectively if you succeed in doing this.

'Peer-assessment' can play a very useful role as a kind of 'bridge' towards self-assessment. It can do this because it focuses students' attention on the same learning outcomes and 'criteria for success' as self-assessment. It also has the same aim, i.e., the improvement of the work being done.

Peer-assessment is especially suited to the process of writing: students can show each other their first (and second) drafts, and give each other feedback, based on simple, previously agreed criteria (e.g., 'Relevant?', 'Clear?', 'Interesting?', 'Friendly?', etc.), which will depend on the type of text being written.

In this way, students will (hopefully) understand and become accustomed to using, the criteria with which they can assess their own work. They will also (hopefully) learn to appreciate that self-assessment/self-monitoring is a natural and constant feature of any learning process — and, indeed, of *any* kind of worthwhile work or task that they will do in future.

<u>REMINDER</u> for <u>TEACHERS</u>: The sole purpose of self-assessment is **formative**. Self-assessment is <u>not</u> intended to provide you with **summative** data to be used in awarding marks and grades.

3.2 SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT

Summative Assessment is assessment <u>of</u> students' learning, with the aim of providing evidence for reporting to the Ministry, to parents, and to other concerned parties. Its purpose is to <u>measure</u> standards, typically by awarding marks and grades.

This kind of assessment tends to focus on the larger (target) outcomes. It is less interested in the specifics of 'how' and 'why' learning is achieved than in the actual end-product: the students' ability to use the English language in different ways.

3.2.1 RATING SCALES

- Rating Scales are intended to make teachers' judgements as fair, consistent and professional as possible.
 - 'scale' (n): 'a measuring instrument' with 'a set of marks with regular spaces between them'
 - 'rate' (vb): 'to say how good you think somebody/something is', or 'to judge the quality of somebody/something'.

A Rating Scale can, in principle, be used to assess either achievement in general or performance on a particular occasion (as, for example, in a test). In Continuous Assessment, it is **the student's general level of achievement** which is of interest.

- In the Student Assessment Handbook for Grades 5 to 8:
 - A specific Rating Scale is provided for each learning outcome.
 - Each scale describes five different levels of achievement.
 - These 'descriptors' <u>usually</u> consist of (at each level of achievement) two or three statements.
 - Each of these statements covers a different aspect of the students' performance, for example, for Writing (Interactive): 'impact on reader', 'task achievement', 'appropriateness' and 'correctness'.
 - Typically, the statements make use of descriptive adjectives (e.g., `clear `, `appropriate''), adverbs (`usually', 'reasonably') and quantifiers (e.g., `few', `most').
 - Teachers need to use their professional judgement to interpret these words and apply them in practice.
 - They should also consult with others to create a share understanding of what these words continuous Moderation').

3.2.2 MAKING DECISIONS on MARKS

Standard Procedure

When making decisions about marks, the 'standard' procedure for applying any Rating Scale is as follows:

	is as follows:	Notes
Step	Action	Notes
1.	Focus on <u>one</u> Learning Outcome and <u>one</u> Rating Scale at a time.	The mark that you give should <u>not</u> be influenced by other CA marks that you have awarded for other learning outcomes, or by the student's marks in Classroom Tests.
2.	Award CA marks according to the wording of the descriptors in the Rating Scale.	Do <u>not</u> award marks by comparing students with each other or putting them in ranking order.
3.	Consider <u>all</u> the aspects listed in each descriptor.	All the aspects listed are important and should be considered. For example, do <u>not</u> award 'Writing' (Interactive) marks based <u>only</u> on 'correctness' — consider the other three aspects as well.
4.	Read the descriptors in the scale and <u>eliminate</u> those which obviously do not apply to the student in question.	Follow a systematic procedure: (i) With weak students, start from the top score (e.g., '5') and then work your way downwards. (ii) With strong students, start from the bottom score (e.g., '1') and then work your way upwards. (iii) With average students, start with top and bottom scores, and then work your way inwards.
5a.	In some cases, this procedure will quickly lead you to a single correct mark, which is obvious.	If the correct mark <u>is</u> obvious, do not 'agonize' for a long time — make a decision!
5b.	In almost all other cases, you will be left with only two 'possibilities. In these 'borderline cases', use your professional judgement to decide which of the two descriptors is closer to the student's actual level of achievement.	Do <u>not</u> simply rely on some 'easy', 'automatic' method, such as always awarding the higher (or the lower) of the two marks.

Difficult Cases

If teachers follow this procedure, arriving at an appropriate mark will often be quite straightforward. However, there are <u>some</u> cases where it is rather more difficult to make the right decision:

Case	Nature of Difficulty	Strategy
A	Student does not fit easily into any one descriptor because the level is different for different 'aspects': e.g., 'fluency' fits the '12' descriptor; but 'clarity' is a '9', and pronunciation is also a '9'. (See Grade Two: SPK, Outcome #2, 'Interaction')	 Think globally. As in real life, focus on the <u>overall</u> impression made by the work done. Obviously, in the example given, the mark should be either '12' or '9'. Do not simply apply an 'automatic' method, such as averaging, or giving the highest or the lowest score. Make a <u>professional</u> judgement.
В	Level is difficult to determine because the student's performance seems to vary considerably from one occasion to another.	 First, investigate — why do these variations occur? Then, base your marks on those pieces of evidence which you believe are most reliable.
С	Level undergoes a substantial <u>change</u> as the semester progresses, e.g., a student's presentations very noticeably improve.	 Do <u>not</u> just 'average out' all the marks awarded throughout the year. Base your mark on where the student stands (overall) at the <u>end</u> of the year. In the (rarer) case of a student 'going backwards', apply the same method, but also make a special point of investigating the cause(s).
D	Student produces good work, but only after a <u>lot</u> of help from the teacher (e.g., when producing a series of drafts for a written text).	 Provide the kind of help which encourages awareness and self-correction. Base your final decision on the student's real (i.e., independent) level of achievement.
E	Student has produced very little work/ evidence of achievement.	• Tell the <u>truth</u> — i.e., award a (very) low mark, but not zero.

<u>IMPORTANT NOTE</u>: Strategy 'E' applies to <u>all</u> decisions on awarding marks. The best way to **really** 'help' students — in the long run — is to tell them the **truth**, and then work together with them to improve whatever needs to be improved.

Anticipating (and Avoiding) Problems

It is, however, possible to <u>avoid</u> many of these (and other) potential difficulties by taking preventive measures as follows:

- At the start of the school year, explain to the class (in a straightforward way) how marks will be awarded, and make them familiar with the relevant Outcomes and the general criteria for awarding marks.
- Consolidate this by encouraging self-assessment / peer-assessment / use of the criteria.
- Also brief the students about the basic 'rules' you expect them to follow (e.g., genuine work).
- Start filling in your provisional marks (in pencil) as soon as possible do not leave it until the last minute!
- Identify potential 'difficult cases' early on well before the end-of-year and then observe closely and gather further evidence.
- Speak to students who are in danger of getting low marks a low mark at the end
 of the year should <u>not</u> come as a complete surprise! Where appropriate, parents will
 need to be notified as well.
- Consult with other teachers, SETs and supervisors about common standards in general and for difficult cases. (See the next section: 'Continuous Moderation')
- Above all, get to know your students well!

3.2.3 CONTINUOUS MODERATION

The purpose of moderation is to ensure that the criteria for awarding marks are being applied *fairly* and *consistently* in different schools across the country.

In Grades 5 to 8, *continuous moderation* is to be carried out, as a process of on-going consultation and teacher-development. This process is generally conducted at school level, with teachers coming together to compare notes and discuss students' work.

The purpose is to arrive at a **shared understanding** of the criteria used for assessing the work and awarding different marks or grades.

Some recommended examples of *Continuous Moderation activities* are:

	ACTIVITY	NOTES
1.	Two teachers talk together informally about work done by their students — comparing, evaluating, and commenting.	Simple, informal, and very easy to arrange.
2.	Two teachers agree to visit each other's classes and contribute to the assessment of students' performance in speaking activities.	Post-lesson discussions will then be based on observed evidence and on the criteria in the Rating Scales.
3.	The SET or SPV sits down with a teacher, looks at her CA mark sheets and selects a particular mark. He/she then asks the teacher why he/she has awarded that specific mark. The teacher justifies his/her mark, referring to Rating Scales, Informal Records, and samples of the student's work. (Then, the process is repeated with other marks.)	This can be done both during the semester (Quality Assurance) and as a final check, at the end of the semester (Quality Control).
4a.	All the English teachers in a school get together for a general moderation workshop, at which they discuss and agree on appropriate marks for a varied collection of samples of students' <u>writing</u> .	It is also <u>possible</u> to do this with recorded samples of students' speaking.
4b.	Specifically, all the English teachers in a school get together for a workshop on ' difficult (or ' borderline') cases' , i.e., cases where they are finding it difficult to decide on the correct mark.	Teachers should bring with them all the available evidence.
4c.	If it is feasible, the same kind of workshop can be conducted as in Activities 4a or 4b, with two or more private schools within easy reach of each other, i.e., a 'local cluster' .	Possibly rather difficult to arrange — but the wider the circle of participants, the more valid the moderation will be.
5.	As a result of the above-mentioned workshops (4a, 4b or 4c), compile — and make available to teachers — a <i>collection</i> of ' <i>exemplars</i> ' of students' work (esp. writing) which have already been 'moderated'. Each exemplar should be accompanied by the agreed mark, along with comments and explanations.	With proper coordination, collections from different/sister private schools could be combined into a larger collection, more widely circulated.

<u>Note</u>: Students should <u>never</u> be forced to appear in a recording against their will. In connection with these moderation activities, the following points should be noted:

- Every activity involves teachers **getting together** to talk about students' work and how that work should be assessed.
- Some of the activities can be initiated very informally by teachers; others require action by the SET or (more officially) a supervisor. However, it is **always** possible, whatever the local circumstances to do **something**.
- All discussions should be based on **evidence** and marking **criteria**.
- Initially, some teachers may feel a little uncomfortable with these 'sharing' procedures. However, hopefully, all teachers will eventually come to appreciate the increased feeling of security and confidence that they have when awarding marks.

A Practical Example: The procedure for conducting *Activity 4* from the *Continuous Moderation activities* listed in the table above.

If we imagine that four English teachers at a school — the Senior Teacher and three others — meet to discuss samples of students' writing, the procedure would be as follows:

- 1. The SET (as Facilitator) explains the purpose of the meeting and outlines the steps of the activity.
- 2. The SET hands out the relevant Rating Scale(s). Brief discussion, with an emphasis on keywords in the scale(s).
- 3. The SET hands out prepared samples of students' writing.
- 4. <u>Individuals</u> award marks using the relevant Rating Scale.
- 5. Whole group reporting back: brief comparison of the marks awarded.
- 6. <u>Pairs</u> discuss each piece of writing *referring to the Rating Scale(s)* and reach joint agreement on an appropriate mark
- 7. Whole group reporting back: brief comparison of the marks awarded.
- 8. Whole group (all four teachers): discussion of any piece of writing where the marks differ. Then, final agreement on an appropriate mark.

<u>Workshop Output</u>: The SET (or one of the teachers acting as 'scribe') records these final marks, along with comments, justifications, and explanations. These 'exemplars' should then be made available/ accessible to everyone who attended the workshop, and later to any newly arriving teachers. (It can, of course, also be shared with supervisors and with teachers from other private/sister schools.)

<u>Note 1</u>: What typically happens at a workshop is that the <u>first</u> comparison of marks shows quite large (sometimes alarming!) differences, but these then (almost always) disappear as the process develops.

Note 2: In the example given, with 4 teachers, three 'marking' stages (Nos. 4, 6 and 8) are required. With 2 or 3 teachers, the 'pairs' stage would be removed; with 7 or more teachers, an extra stage ('pairs-of-pairs') would be added.

<u>Note 3</u>: Particularly when larger numbers are involved, it is essential that whoever 'chairs' the workshop makes a good job of running it efficiently and keeping it on track.

3.2.4 REPORTING

Parents receive <u>four</u> reports regarding their son's or daughter's achievement in the learning outcomes for English for the year though the type of report sent may vary from one private school to another:

- In the middle of Semester 1, a 'descriptive' report
- At the <u>end</u> of Semester 1, a **'full' report**
- In the middle of Semester 2, a 'descriptive' report
- At the <u>end</u> of Semester 2, a **'full' report** for the whole year

The <u>descriptive</u> report does not include any marks or grades. Instead, it provides a brief comment usually consisting of 10 to 15 words. (<u>Note</u>: This comment will, of course, appear on the Report Card in Arabic and/or in English depending on the policy of the private school.)

By contrast, the <u>full</u> report does not include any comments, but again this will vary from one private school to another depending on the policy of the private school. It simply gives information about the student's final percentage mark and letter-grade for English. In this case, it is the <u>accuracy</u> of the data provided which is important. Teachers should take care that any calculations that they have made are correct and that no mistakes have been made in copying data from one place to another.

APPENDIX ONE

Awarding Marks in Grades 5-8

Learning Outcomes, Rating Scales & Recording Sheets

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for LISTENING (1)

OUTCOME #1

Can understand variety of spoken texts.

In addition to understanding what is said to them directly in the classroom and elsewhere, students should be able to understand a range of text-types of differing lengths:

Interactive: Short exchanges; dialogues; interviews. Informative:

Descriptions; factual texts; presentations; talks. Narrative: Stories

(fictional); personal experiences; biographies; historical texts; radio

broadcasts

Evaluative: Talks; discussions; debates

When dealing with these texts, students should be able to:

- Extract specific /details information
- Extract main points
- Extract sequence of events or ideas
- Make inferences (about purpose, intention, message. et
- Make predictions (about action or activity ...etc.)

In doing this, they should be able to:

- Identify the text-type, purpose and intended audience of the text-types listed above.
- Make inferences about matters not directly stated in the text.
- Identify speakers' opinions, attitudes, feelings, and intentions.
- Recognise and understand the meaning of discourse markers.
- Recognise and understand the meaning of cohesive devices.

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALE for SPEAKING (1)

OUTCOME # 2	RATING SCALE # 2					
Can give presentations. - Presenters should be able to speak on a topic for <i>five minutes</i> . - The audience will consist of the rest of the	 Presentations are easy to follow, well-structured and interesting. Eye contact/communication with audience is very good. Voice and pronunciation are clear. Language used is mostly accurate. Supporting visuals are clear and effective. Answers to Qs are clear and convincing. 					
class. – Topics should be approved in advance by the teacher. – These topics should be culturally appropriate and of interest to the audience.	 Presentations are mostly clear, as well as quite interesting. Eye contact/communication with audience is good. Voice and pronunciation are mostly clear. Language used contains inaccuracies, but the meaning is only rarely obscured. Supporting visuals are quite good. Answers to Qs are mostly adequate. 					
 Presentations should be supported by digital technology (images, sounds, etc.). Students may use brief notes (either on paper or on-screen) but should <i>not</i> read out from a prepared text. 	 Presentations are reasonably clear but are not always that interesting. Eye contact/communication with audience is only reasonably good. Voice and pronunciation are fairly accurate Language used contains noticeable errors, which occasionally makes the meaning unclear Supporting visuals are adequate. Answers to Qs are only partly effective. 					
 Presentations will usually be given individually but can also be given in pairs. (Note: In paired presentations, marks should be awarded individually.) After the presentation, there should be an opportunity for the audience to ask Qs. 	 Manages to convey some information but is generally ineffective/uninteresting. Eye contact/communication with audience is rather weak. Voice and/or pronunciation are sometimes unclear. Language used contains frequent errors, which sometimes makes the meaning unclear. Use of supporting visuals is limited. Answers to Qs are limited and/or unclear. 					
	 Presentations are unclear and uninformative. Eye contact/communication with audience is very weak. Voice is difficult to hear and/or pronunciation is difficult to understand. Frequent serious errors make speech generally difficult to follow. Supporting visuals are either missing or obviously inadequate. Answers to Qs are very inadequate indeed. 					

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for SPEAKING (2)

OUTCOME # 3		TING SCALE # 3
Can interact in a variety of contexts.		 Interacts confidently and effectively with others in a variety of contexts. Can initiate interaction and respond appropriately. Maintains flow of interaction by dealing effectively with problems/misunderstandings. Pronunciation has some L1 features but is generally clear and easy to understand.
		Between descriptors above and below
Covers the ability to take part in different kinds of (mostly routine) interactions in a variety of contexts: - short exchanges - sharing information - expressing opinions - negotiating with others to make decisions This includes the ability to: - Engage in collaborative discussion - Use social language and initiation skills - Respond to different situations, (suggestions, apology, offers etc.) - Interacts with others reaced - Responds appropriately, keep - L1 accent, but only rarely - Between descriptors above - Manages to interact with - Can respond to relatively response is required Patience is sometimes recommendate - Noticeable L1 accent, leaded - Noticeable L1 accent, leaded - Has obvious limitations were considered.		 Interacts with others reasonably well in most situations. Responds appropriately, but sometimes lacks flexibility and the ability to initiate. Usually manages to keep the interaction going, but with occasional difficulties. L1 accent, but only rarely impedes understanding. Between descriptors above and below Manages to interact with others, but sometimes in a rather limited way. Can respond to relatively simple input but has difficulty when a more complex
 Prepare and present an argument/topic to persuade others to adopt a point of view. 	3	– Strong L1 accent, placing some strain the other participant(s).
Note: These interactions may be with the teachers, students, or others.		 Between descriptors above and below Has great difficulty in interacting with others and in responding even to quite simple input. There are frequent pauses and breakdowns in communication. Serious pronunciation errors make speech difficult for other participant(s) to follow.

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for READING (1)

OUTCOME # 4	RA	TING SCALE # 4
Can understand variety of texts.	10	Has an excellent understanding of all texts.Reading is quick and comfortable.
	9	Between the descriptors above and below
Covers the ability to understand four general categories of text:		Has a good understanding of most texts.Reads at a reasonable speed.
Interactive: (i) Informal letters/e-mails/postcards/invitations, etc. (ii) More formal letters	8	
(iii) Dalogues (in written form)	7	Between the descriptors above and below
Informative: Descriptions; sets of instructions; tables/ graphs/ charts; factual texts; dictionary entries; encyclopedia entries, posters, leaflets/brochures Narrative: Stories (fictional); personal experiences; biographies; news reports.	6	Has a reasonably good understanding of most texts.Reading is at times quite slow.
Persuasive: Magazine articles; reviews; other texts whose main purpose is to	5	Between the descriptors above and below
express (and justify) opinions		– Has a limited understanding of most texts.
This requires the ability to: - understand the general meaning.	4	– Reading is generally slow.
- identify the main points.	3	Between the descriptors above and below
 extract specific information. It also involves the ability to use a range of strategies in dealing with texts: Extract main points, specific and detailed information Compare and contrast ideas Make inferences (about purpose, intention, message etc.) Make predictions (about action or activityetc.) Discuss the sequence of events or ideas Interpret information from a variety of sources (e.g., maps, graphs, charts, diagrams, poems, narratives novels etc.) 	2	 Has a poor understanding of all texts. Reading is always very slow.

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 and 6: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for READING (2)

OUTCOME # 5		RATING SCALE # 5		
Can read independently. (grades 5 & 6)	5	Does a lot of reading.A very good range of tasks.		
Independent Reading' covers the reading of: Shorter Texts: (found in newspapers, in magazines, in books, on the Internet, on reading cards, and elsewhere) of five main types:	4	Does quite a lot of readingA good range of tasks.		
 Information – Opinion – News Items Letters/ e-mails – Short Stories Longer Texts (i.e. whole books) of three main types: 	3	Does a reasonable amount of reading.A reasonable range of tasks.		
 Fiction Fact History Students are also expected to carry out (pre- or) post-reading tasks relating to these texts. The students should select both the texts to be read and the tasks to 	2	Does a relatively small amount of reading.A limited range of tasks		
<u>be done.</u><u>Note:</u> More detailed information and guidelines can be found in <u>Appendix Four.</u>	1	 Only does a very small amount of reading. Only very limited or incomplete attempts at any tasks. 		

BILINGUAL GRADES 7 & 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for READING (2)

OUTCOME # 6	R	ATING SCALE # 6
Can understand variety of literary texts. (Grades 7&8)	5	Has an excellent understanding of all texts.Reading is quick and comfortable.
These 'literary texts' cover two genres: Poetry , and two fictional prose: Short Stories and Novels . This requires the ability to:	4	Has a good understanding of most texts.Reads at a reasonable speed.
 understand the general meaning. identify the main points. extract specific information. 	3	Has a reasonably good understanding of most texts.Reading is at times quite slow.
It also involves the ability to use a range of strategies in dealing with texts: - Identity the features of a literary text (plot, setting, characterization, mood	2	Has a limited understanding of most texts.Reading is generally slow.
 &theme, etc.) Identify the relationship between sounds, words, imagery& language pattern in narratives and poetry Connect their own experience with characters &events represented in texts Describe characters and settings Relate the setting to the meaning, mood and tone of the text Analyze and evaluate similarities and differences in texts on similar topics, themes or plots 	1	– Has a poor understanding of all texts.– Reading is always very slow.
Students will need to be familiar with a wide range of <i>literary elements</i> (e.g., <i>style, character, setting, point-of-view, conflict, etc.</i>) and <i>figures of speech</i> (e.g., <i>metaphors, puns, symbols, images, etc.</i>) used in and across the two genres.		

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for WRITING (1)

OUTCOME # 7		RATING SCALE # 7		
Can write and respond to letters and e-mails.		 Message to the intended reader(s) is <u>very clear</u>. Writing clearly succeeds in achieving its intended purpose. Writing is very well-organised, clear, and coherent A varied range of grammar and vocabulary, with a very good level of accuracy. 		
	9	Between the descriptors above and below		
These 'Interactive' texts may include: - informal letters (to friends and relatives) - e-mails, postcards, notes/messages & invitations - formal: to employers, officials, school principals, etc.	8	 Message to the intended reader(s) is clear. Writing has a reasonable success in achieving its intended purpose. Writing is generally well-organised, and mostly clear and coherent A fair range of grammar and vocabulary, with a good level of accuracy. 		
These texts cover a wide range of everyday types and topics, including:	_	Between the descriptors above and below - Message to the intended reader(s) is partially clear.		
 requests for information/advice giving information/advice / letters of complaint and, where appropriate, replies to these texts. Writing these texts involves the ability to:	6	 Writing has only partially achieved its intended purpose. Writing is not well-organised, but is still reasonably clear and coherent A limited range of grammar and vocabulary, with a reasonable level of accuracy. 		
 adapt writing to fit different purposes and readers. organize ideas and information into a coherent text. use discourse markers correctly. combine sentences together using a range of cohesive devices. 	5	Between the descriptors above and below		
	4	 Message to the intended reader(s) is mostly unclear. Writing has only a very limited success in achieving its intended purpose. Writing is poorly organised, and often unclear. A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary, with frequent errors. 		
use grammar and vocabulary appropriately and correctly.	3	Between the descriptors above and below		
and correctly. – use capital letters and punctuation marks correctly. – evaluate, edit, and improve their own draft texts.	2	 Message to the intended reader(s) is unclear. Writing clearly fails to achieve its intended purpose. Writing is incoherent and confusing. Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary, with serious errors most of the time. 		

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for WRITING (2)

OUTCOME # 8 Can write texts with the purpose of providing information.		ING SCALE # 8
		 Presents relevant information clearly and in an interesting way. Very good use of details and examples. Writing is very well-structured, clear, and coherent. A varied range of grammar and vocabulary with a very good level of accuracy.
These 'Informative' texts may include: – reports/articles/summaries/completed forms/sets of instructions /descriptions/ notes/lists/graphs/ tables/adverts posters/brochures/ leaflets, etc.		 Presents relevant information with reasonable success. Good use of details and examples. Writing is generally well-structured, and mostly clear and coherent A fair range of grammar and vocabulary with a good level of accuracy.
Writing these texts involves the ability to: - adapt writing to fit different purposes and readers. - organize ideas and information into a coherent text. - use discourse markers correctly. - combine sentences together using a range of	3	 Manages to present relevant information, but in a limited way only. Minimal use of details and examples. Writing is not well-structured but is still reasonably clear and coherent. A limited range of grammar and vocabulary with a reasonable level of accuracy.
cohesive devices. – use grammar and vocabulary appropriately and correctly. – use capital letters and punctuation marks correctly. – — evaluate, edit, and improve their own draft texts.	2	 Attempts to present information, but the results are obviously inadequate. Poor use of details and examples. Writing is poorly structured, and often unclear A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent errors.
	1	 Presents very little relevant information indeed. No use of details and examples. Writing is incoherent and confusing. Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary with serious errors most of the time.

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for WRITING (3)

OUTCOME # 9		ATING SCALE # 9
Can write stories and narrate events in the past.	10	 Produces narratives which are fully successful in engaging the reader. Lively, effective use of appropriate detail. Writing is very well-structured, clear, and coherent. A varied range of grammar and vocabulary with a very good level of accuracy.
	9	Between the descriptors above and below
These 'Narrative' texts may include: - accounts of real-life events/ experiences, fictional narratives/ biographies/historical texts/reports/ diary entries, etc. Writing these texts involves the ability to: - adapt writing to fit different purposes and readers organize ideas and information into a coherent text use discourse markers correctly combine sentences together using a range of cohesive	8 7 6	-Produces narratives which are reasonably successful in engaging the reader. - Generally good use of appropriate detail. - Writing is generally well-structured, and mostly clear, and coherent. - A fair range of grammar and vocabulary with a good level of accuracy. Between the descriptors above and below - Produces narratives which are only partially successful in engaging the reader. - Somewhat limited use of appropriate detail. - Writing is not well-structured but is still reasonably clear and coherent. - A limited range of grammar and vocabulary with a reasonable level of accuracy.
devices use grammar and vocabulary appropriately and correctly.		Between the descriptors above and below
e grammar and vocabulary appropriately and correctly. e capital letters and punctuation marks correctly. aluate, edit, and improve their own draft texts	4	 Produces narratives which have very limited success in engaging the reader. Inadequate use of appropriate detail. Writing is poorly structured, and often unclear. A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent errors.
	3	Between the descriptors above and below
	2	 Produces narratives which completely fail to engage the reader. Little or no use of appropriate detail. Writing is incoherent and confusing. Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary with serious errors most of the time.

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for WRITING (4)

OUTCOME # 10 Can write texts which express and justify opinions.		RATING SCALE # 10		
		 Expresses opinions on topics in a lively, convincing way. Supports all points effectively with relevant evidence and detail. Essays are very well-organised, clear ,and coherent. A varied range of grammar and vocabulary with a very good level of accuracy. 		
-These 'Persuasive' texts may include: -articles -advice/ feedback -complaints/ criticism	4	 Expresses opinions on topics in a reasonably convincing way. Supports most points with relevant evidence and detail. Essays are generally well-organised and, for the most part, clear and coherent. A fair range of grammar and vocabulary with a good level of accuracy 		
-commentary -reviews -recommendations -other kinds of texts whose main purpose is to express (and justify) an opinion	3	 Expresses opinions on topics, in a somewhat limited way. Is inconsistent in supporting points with relevant evidence and detail. Essays are poorly- organized but are still reasonably clear and coherent. A limited range of grammar and vocabulary with a reasonable level of accuracy. 		
Writing these texts involves the ability to: -adapt writing to fit different purposes and readersorganize ideas and information into a coherent textuse discourse markers correctly.	2	 Express opinions on topics, but the results are clearly inadequate. Is generally weak in supporting points with relevant evidence. Essays lack organization, lack coherence and are sometimes unclear. A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent errors. 		
-combine sentences together using a range of cohesive devicesuse grammar and vocabulary appropriately and correctlyuse capital letters and punctuation marks correctlyevaluate, edit, and improve their own draft texts	1	 Makes only very feeble attempts to express opinions on topics. Fails to support points with any relevant evidence. Essays are incoherent and confusing. Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary with serious errors most of the time. 		

BILINGUAL GRADES 5 to 8: OUTCOMES & RATING SCALES for VOCABULARY/ GRAMMAR

OUTCOME # 11	RA	TING SCALE # 11
Can use vocabulary and grammar meaningfully.	5	 Has a very good understanding of the meaning of different grammatical structures and vocabulary items. Uses a range of structures with consistent accuracy. Makes appropriate use of a wide range of vocabulary.
In relation to any particular <i>grammatical structure</i> , this outcome focuses on students' ability to: – recognize its various forms.	4	 Has a good understanding of the meaning of different grammatical structures and vocabulary items. Uses most structures with a good level of accuracy. Makes appropriate use of a fairly wide range of vocabulary.
 understand its meaning(s). use it appropriately in context. Covers all grammatical structures taught at these and previous levels. **********************************	3	 Has a reasonably good understanding of the meaning of different grammatical structures and vocabulary items. Uses core structures with a reasonable level of accuracy. Makes appropriate use of a moderate repertoire of vocabulary.
In relation to any particular <i>vocabulary item (word or phrase)</i> , this outcome focuses on students' ability to: – recognize its spoken and written forms. – understand its meaning(s). – use it appropriately in context.	2	 Has only a limited understanding of the meaning of different grammatical structures and vocabulary items. Use of core structures is often inaccurate. Makes appropriate use of a limited repertoire of vocabulary.
Covers all core vocabulary items taught at these <u>and</u> previous levels.	1	 Has a very poor understanding of the meaning of different grammatical structures and vocabulary items. Use of core structures is seriously distorted. Makes appropriate use of only a very narrow repertoire of vocabulary.

APPENDIX TWO

Mark Sheets for Continuous Assessment

SUI	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
BIL	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GF	ADE 5 SEMESTER ONE									
Cla	ss: Year:									
Tea	ocher:									
LST	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
¥	Can give presentations.	(5)								
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)					 	 	 	
	Can read independently.	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts with the purpose of providing information.	(5)					 	 		
	Can write and respond to letters and e-mails.	(10)								
۸/5	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
СС	NTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SE	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
OVERALLL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)								
OVER	LETTER GRADE									

SUN	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
BIL	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GR	ADE 5 SEMESTER TWO									
Cla	ss: Year:									
Tea	cher:									
LST	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
¥	Can give presentations.	(5)								
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)								<u> </u>
	Can read independently.	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts, which express and justify opinions.	(5)					 	 	 	<u> </u>
	Can write stories and narrate events in the past.	(10)								
0/9	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
со	NTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SEI	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
OVERALLL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)								
OVER	LETTER GRADE									

SUN	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
BIL	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GR	ADE 6 SEMESTER ON E									
Cla	ss: Year:									
Tea	cher:									
LST	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
~	Can give presentations.	(5)								
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)		 						
	Can read with grade-level fluency	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts with the purpose of providing information.	(5)	 				 		 	
	Can write and respond to letters and e-mails.	(10)								
0 / N	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
со	NTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SEI	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
OVERALLL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)								
OVER	LETTER GRADE									

SUI	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
BII	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GF	ADE 6 SEMESTER TWO									
Cla	ss: Year:									
Tea	acher:									
LST	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
_	Can give presentations.	(5)								
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)								
	Can read with grade-level fluency	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts, which express and justify opinions.	(5)		 				 	 	
>	Can write stories and narrate events in the past.	(10)								
6 /	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
cc	NTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SE	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
ALLL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)								
OVERALLL	LETTER GRADE	<u>i</u>								

SUI	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
BIL	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GR	ADE 7 <u>SEMESTER</u> ONE									
Cla	ss: Year:									
Tea	acher:									
LST	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
~	Can give presentations.	(5)								
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)				 				
	Can understand variety of literary texts.	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts, which express and justify opinions.	(5)	 		 	 				
	Can write stories and narrate events in the past.	(10)								
d / V	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
со	NTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SEI	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
ALLL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)								
OVERALLL	LETTER GRADE									

SU	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
ВІІ	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GI	RADE 7 SEMESTER TWO									
Cla	ass: Year:									
Te	acher:									
TS.	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
~	Can give presentations.	(5)								
APK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)								
	Can understand variety of literary texts.	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts with the purpose of providing information.	(5)								
	Can write and respond to letters and e-mails.	(10)								
7	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
CC	ONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SE	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
AIII	TOTAL SCORE	(100)			_					
OVERALLI	LETTER GRADE	ı								

SU	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
BII	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GI	<u>SEMESTER</u> ONE									
Cla	ss: Year:									
Те	acher:									
	Т									
LST	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
×	Can give presentations.	(5)								
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)				 				
	Can understand variety of literary texts.	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts, which express and justify opinions.	(5)				 				
	Can write stories and narrate events in the past.	(10)								
۲/۸	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
CC	NTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SE	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
OVERALLL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)				 	 	 		
OVER	LETTER GRADE									

SUI	MMARY of MARKS AWARDED									
BIL	INGUAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS									
GF	ADE 8 SEMESTER TWO									
	ss: Year:									
100	dener.	:								
LST	Can understand variety of spoken texts.	(10)								
¥	Can give presentations.	(5)								
SPK	Can interact in a variety of contexts.	(10)								
RDG	Can understand variety of texts.	(10)								
	Can understand variety of literary texts.	(5)								
WRT	Can write texts with the purpose of providing information.	(5)								
	Can write and respond to letters and e-mails.	(10)								
۸/ 5	Can use Vocabulary and Grammar meaningfully.	(5)								
CC	NTINUOUS ASSESSMENT: Total	(60)								
SE	MESTER TEST: Total	(40)								
ALLL	TOTAL SCORE	(100)								
OVERALLI	LETTER GRADE	:								

APPENDIX THREE

EXAM SPECIFICATIONS

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 5)

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short, numbered texts with 1 speaker and a common theme or context. Length of each text: 25-30 words.	On test paper: 6 pictures showing people, animals, objects, places, activities, situations, etc. (5 correct, 1 distractor).	Match the texts with the appropriate pictures.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 informative text (with 1 speaker). Length: 100-125 words	On test paper: A set of 5 relevant headings.	Under each heading, write notes based on key information from the text.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
VCB	5 separate sentences, each with a gap where a vocabulary item (word or phrase) has been removed.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 3 options.	Indicate which of the three options is correct.	1 mark for each item. Total: 5 marks
GRM	1 text containing 5 missing words. Length: 35-40 words.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 2 options.	Indicate which of the two options is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (1)	5 short informative texts (signs, notices, labels) each with 20-25 words	For each text, 3 statements.	Indicate which of the three statements is correct.	1 mark for each item. Total: 5 marks
RDG (2)	1 narrative text Length: 125-135 words.	5 <i>Wh</i> -Questions.	Write a SHORT answer to each question.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
WRT (1)	A title/ topic/ question/picture relating to information, either personal or general.	Possibly: input material of some kind, e.g., picture.	Write an informative text of at least 50 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks
WRT (2)	A set of instructions for the writing of an e-mail.	Possibly: input material. of some kind, e.g., a short text requiring a response)	Write a text of at least 50 words	Award a score based on a five-level Rating Scale. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 5, Sem. One]

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 5)

SEMESTER TWO [90 minutes / 40 marks]

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short texts, each with 1 speaker. Length of each text: 15-20 words.	On test paper: For each text, 1 statement.	Indicate whether each statement is TRUE or FALSE.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 informative text with 1 speaker. Length: 100-125 words.	On test paper: 5 multiple choice items, each with 3 options.	Indicate which of the 3 options is correct.	1 mark for each item. Total: 5 marks
VCB	5 separate sentences, each with a gap where a vocabulary item (word or phrase) has been removed.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 3 options.	Indicate which of the three options is correct.	1 mark for each item. Total: 5 marks
GRM	1 text containing 5 missing words. Length: 35-40 words.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 2 options.	Indicate which of the two options is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (1)	5 short, numbered texts with a common theme or context. Length of each text: 20-25 words.	6 pictures showing people, animals, objects, places, activities, situations, etc. (5 correct, 1 distractor).	Match each text with the appropriate picture.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (2)	1 interactive text. Length: 125-135 words	5 statements relating to the text.	Indicate whether each statement is True or False.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
WRT (1)	A topic, title or question requiring students to express and justify an opinion.	(Appropriate instructions)	Write an evaluative text of at least 50 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks
WRT (2)	EITHER: A set of 3-4 pictures showing a story. OR: A set of instructions for the writing of a narrative text.	A list of 5 useful vocabulary items. (Other input material as necessary)	Write a narrative text of at least 50 words	Award a score based on a five-level Rating Scale <u>Total</u> : 5 marks

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 5, Sem. Two]

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 6) SEMESTER ONE [90 minutes / 40 marks]

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short texts, each with 1 speaker. Length of each text: 15-20 words.	On test paper: For each text, 1 statement.	Indicate whether each statement is TRUE or FALSE.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 informative text with 1 speaker. Length: 125-130 words.	On test paper: 5 multiple choice items, each with 3 options.	Indicate which of the 3 options is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
VCB	5 separate sentences, each with a gap where a vocabulary item (word or phrase) has been removed.	A list of 8 vocabulary-items (5 correct, 3 distractors).	Complete the sentences, filling the 5 gaps with words from the list.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
GRM	1 text/dialogue containing 5 missing words. Length: 35-40 words.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 2 options.	Indicate which of the two options is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (1)	5 short, numbered texts with a common theme or context. Length of each text: 20-25 words.	6 pictures showing people, animals, objects, places, activities, situations, etc. (5 correct, 1 distractor).	Match each text with the appropriate picture.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (2)	1 narrative text. Length: 150-200 words	5 statements relating to the text.	Indicate whether each statement is True or False.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
WRT (1)	A title/ topic/ question/picture relating to information, either personal or general.	Possibly: input material. of some kind, e.g., picture.	Write an informative text of at least 60 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks
WRT (2)	A set of instructions for the writing of an e-mail.	(Possibly: input material. of some kind, e.g., a short text requiring a response)	Write a text of at least 60 words.	Award a score based on a five-level Rating Scale <u>Total</u> : 5 marks

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 6, Sem. One]

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 6)

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short, numbered texts with 1 speaker and a common theme or context. Length of each text: 25-30 words.	On test paper: 6 pictures showing people, animals, objects, places, activities, situations, etc. (5 correct, 1 distractor).	Match the texts with the appropriate pictures.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 informative text (with 1 speaker). Length: 125-130 words	On test paper: A set of 5 relevant headings.	Under each heading, write notes based on key information from the text.	1 mark for each item. Total: 5 marks
VCB	5 separate sentences, each with a gap where a vocabulary item (word or phrase) has been removed.	A list of 8 vocabulary-items (5 correct, 3 distractors).	Complete the sentences, filling the 5 gaps with words from the list.	1 mark for each item. Total: 5 marks
GRM	1 text/dialogue containing 5 missing words. Length: 35-40 words.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 2 options.	Indicate which of the two options is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (1)	5 short informative texts (signs, notices, labels) each with 20-25 words	For each text, 3 statements.	Indicate which of the 3 statements is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (2)	1 interactive text (e-mail). Length: 150-200 words.	5 <i>Wh</i> -Questions.	Write a SHORT answer to each question.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
WRT (1)	A topic, title or question requiring students to express and justify an opinion.	(Appropriate instructions)	Write an evaluative text of at least 60 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks
WRT (2)	EITHER: A set of 3-4 pictures showing a story. OR: A set of instructions for the writing of a narrative text.	A list of 5 useful vocabulary items. Or (Other input material as necessary)	Write a narrative text of at least 60 words	Award a score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 6, Sem.Two]

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 7)

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short, numbered texts, each with 1 speaker. Length of each text: 20-25 words.	On test paper: For each text, 1 statement.	Indicate whether the statement is TRUE or FALSE	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 informative text (with 1 speaker). Length: 150-200 words.	On test paper: 5 Wh-Questions.	Write a SHORT answer to each question.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
GRM/ VCB	A dialogue with 10 gaps where a vocabulary item/or structure (word or phrase) has been removed. Length: 90-100 words.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 2 options	Indicate which of the two options is correct.	0.5 marks for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (1)	5 (unrelated) short texts. Length: 25-35 words.	On test paper: For each text, 1 statement.	Indicate whether the statement is TRUE or FALSE	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (2)	1 interactive text. Length: 200-250 words.	Task One: 6 multiple-choice items, each with 3 options. Task Two: 4 gap-fill items (table, diagram, chart, etc.)	Task One: Indicate which of the three options is correct. Task Two: Complete each gap (no more than 2 words)	1 mark for each item. Total: 10 marks
WRT (1)	A topic, title or question requiring students to express and justify an opinion.	(Appropriate instructions)	Write an evaluative text of at least 100 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks
WRT (2)	A set of instructions for the writing of a narrative text.	(Other input material as necessary)	Write a narrative text of at least 100 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 7, Sem. One]

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 7)

SEMESTER TWO [120 minutes / 40 marks]

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short, numbered texts with 1 speaker and a common theme or context. Length of each text: 20-25 words.	On test paper: 5 multiple-choice items, each with 3 pictures.	Indicate which of the three pictures is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 informative text with 1 speaker. Length: 150-200 words.	A set of 5 relevant headings.	Under each heading, write notes based on key information from the text.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
GRM/VC B	1 text with 10 gaps where a vocabulary item/or structure (word or phrase) has been removed. Length: 90-100 words.	A list of 10 structure words (5 grammar, 5 vocabulary).	Complete the text, filling the gaps with words from the list.	0.5 marks for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (1)	5 short informative texts (signs, notices, labels). Length: 25-35 words	5 multiple-choice items, each with 3 options.	Indicate which of the three options is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (2)	1 evaluative text. Length: 200-250 words.	<u>Task One</u> : 6 <i>Wh</i> -Questions. <u>Task Two</u> : 4 True/False items_	Task One: Write a SHORT answer to each question. Task Two: Indicate whether the statement is TRUE or FALSE.	1 mark for each item. Total: 10 marks
WRT (1)	A title/ topic/ question relating to factual information, either personal or general.	(No other materials)	Write an informative text of at least 100 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks
WRT (2)	A set of instructions for the writing of a letter/email.	(Possibly: input material. of some kind, e.g., a short text requiring a response)	Write a text of at least 100 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 5 marks

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 7, Sem. Two]

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 8)

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING	
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short, numbered texts with 1 speaker and a common theme or context. Length of each text: 20-30 words.	On test paper: 5 multiple-choice items, each with 3 pictures.	Indicate which of the three pictures is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks	
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 informative text with 1 speaker. Length: 150-200 words.	A set of 5 relevant headings.	Under each heading, write notes based on key information from the text.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks	
GRM/VC B	1 text, containing 10 missing words. Length: 90-100 words.	For each gap, a multiple-choice item with 4 options.	Indicate which of the four options is correct.	0.5 marks for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks	
RDG (1)	1 informative text. Length: 250-300 words.	<u>Task One</u> : 6 <i>Wh</i> -Questions. <u>Task Two</u> : 4 True/False items.	Task One: Write a SHORT answer to each question. Task Two: Indicate whether the statement is TRUE or FALSE.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 10 marks	
RDG (2)	1 interactive text. Length: 250-300 words.	5 multiple-choice items, each with 3 options.	Indicate which of the three options is correct.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks	
WRT	Instructions for 2 tasks, EITHER: A topic, title or question requiring students to express and justify an opinion. OR: A set of instructions for the writing of a narrative text.	(Appropriate instructions)	Write a text of at least 100 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 10 marks	

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 8, Sem. One]

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS: (Grade 8)

	MATERIALS	WITH:	STUDENT TASK	MARKING
LST (1)	Sts hear (twice): 5 short, numbered texts, each with 1 speaker. Length of each text: 20- 30 words.	On test paper: 5 True/False items_	Indicate whether the statement is TRUE or FALSE	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
LST (2)	Sts hear (twice): 1 narrative text (with 1 speaker). Length: 150-200 words.	On test paper: 5 Wh-Questions.	Write a SHORT answer to each question.	1 mark for each item. Total: 5 marks
GRM/VC B	1 dialogue, containing 10 missing words. Length: 90-100 words.	For each gap, a multiple- choice item with 4 options.	Indicate which of the four options is correct.	0.5 marks for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
RDG (1)	1 evaluative text. Length: 250-300 words.	Task One: 6 multiple-choice items, each with 3 options. Task Two: 4 gap-fill items (table, diagram, chart, etc.)	Task One: Indicate which of the three options is correct. Task Two: Complete each gap (no more than 2 words)	1 mark for each item. Total: 10 marks
RDG (2)	1 narrative text. Length: 250-300 words.	5 Wh-Questions.	Write a SHORT answer to each question.	1 mark for each item. <u>Total</u> : 5 marks
WRT	Instructions for 2 tasks, EITHER: A title/ topic/ question relating to information, either personal or general. OR: A set of instructions for the writing of a letter/ e-mail.	(Appropriate instructions)	Write a text of at least 100 words.	Award an overall score based on a five-level Rating Scale. Total: 10 marks

[Bilingual Exam Specifications: Grade 8, Sem. Two]

APPENDIX FOUR

TASKS for INDEPENDENT READING

CLASSIFICATION of independent reading TASKS

Before Reading	After Reading	Task Type	Task Instructions
*		WANT TO KNOW	Look at the title and make a list of <u>questions</u> which you would like the text to answer.
*		ALREADY THINK	Look at the title and say/write what <u>your opinion</u> is about the topic of the text, and why.
	*	SUMMARY	Write a short <u>summary</u> of the text (or of a part of the text).
	*	LIKE/ DISLIKE (b)	Describe and explain your own <u>reactions</u> (positive or negative) to the text.
	*	LIKE/ DISLIKE (c)	Write a letter/ e-mail to a friend. Recommend that he/she should read the text that you have read.
	*	WHAT NEXT?	Think about the continuation of the story. What will happen next?
	*	IMAGINE (a)	Describe how the story would be different in an <u>Omani</u> context.
	*	RESPOND (a)	Write a <u>reply</u> to a letter or e-mail.

*	DRAMA	Act out an important scene or event in front of your class.
*	IMAGINE (b)	Think of an <u>alternative</u> event or decision during the story, and discuss how it would change the ending.
*	IMAGINE (c)	Imagine you met/ found/ visited/ saw a <u>person</u> / <u>object</u> / <u>place</u> / <u>animal</u> from the text. Write about what happened.
*	RESEARCH (b)	Do a <u>research</u> project following up on some topic/ theme from the text.
*	COMPARE	Compare two different texts about the <u>same topic</u> . How are they similar? How are they different?
*	WRITER'S ATTITUDE	Identify and describe the writer's <u>attitude</u> towards — or feelings about — the topic that he/she is writing about.
*	RESPOND (b)	Respond to the writer's views, giving your own <u>opinion</u> .
*	WHO IS HE/ SHE?	From your reading of the text, imagine what <u>kind</u> of <u>person</u> the writer is: their personal details, relationship with the reader, way of life, interests, beliefs, etc.